## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application, Claims 1-9 having been presently amended.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for not defining statutory subject matter. Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for not being supported. Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the courtesy of Examiner Kumar to interview this case on June 11, 2007. During the interview, Examiner Kumar indicated that the proposed changes presented were acceptable to overcome the issues in the outstanding Office.

Given this agreement, submitted herewith are those changes as discussed and agreed to during the interview.

Application No. 09/446,888 Reply to Office Action dated February 23, 2007

Consequently, in light of the above discussion and in view of the present amendment, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER AND NEUSTADT, P.C.

Ronde e Lade

Eckhard H. Kuesters Registration No. 28,870 Attorney of Record Ronald A. Rudder Registration No. 45,618

Customer Number 22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413-2220

EHK:RAR:csc

I:\ATTYRAR\AMENDMENTS\6342\0039\RESPONSETOOA02232007.DOC